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## Best-choice problem

matching model, job search model, mating model, buyer-seller model

## Two-sided mate choice problem

- Alpern S., Reyniers D.J. $(1999,2005)$ Homotypic and common preferences
- Mazalov V., Falko A. (2008) Common preferences, arriving flow
- Alpern S., Katrantzi I., Ramsey D. (2010) Age preferences: discrete time model
- Alpern S., Katrantzi I., Ramsey D. (2013) Age preferences: continuous time model


## Alpern S., Katrantzi I., Ramsey D. (2010)

- Males have $m$ periods for mating, females - $n$ periods, $m>n$.
- It is assumed that the total number of unmated males is greater than the total number of unmated females.
- Each group has steady state distribution for the age of individuals.
- In the game unmated individuals from different groups randomly meet each other in each period. If they accept each other, they form a couple and leave the game, otherwise they go into the next period unmated and older.
- Payoff of mated player is the number of future joint periods with selected partner: payoff of male age $i$ and female age $j$ is equal to $\min \{m-i+1 ; n-j+1\}$
- The aim of each player is to maximize the expected payoff.

- $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$.
- $a_{i}$ - the number of unmated males of age $i$ relative to the number of females of age 1 .
- $b_{j}$ - the number of unmated females of age $j$ relative to the number of females of age $1\left(b_{1}=1\right)$.
- $R$ - the ratio of the rates at which males and females enter the adult population $R=\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}=a_{1}$.
- $A=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}, B=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{j}, r=\frac{A}{B}, r>1$.
- $F=\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right], G=\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right]$
- $f_{i}=k, k=1, \ldots, n$ - to accept a female of age $1, \ldots, k$
- $g_{j}=l, l=1, \ldots, m$ - to accept a male of age $1, \ldots, l$
$F=[1,2,3,3], \quad G=[4,4,4]$
n


The equilibrium age distributions are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i+1}=a_{i}\left(1-\sum_{i \leftrightarrow j} \frac{b_{j}}{A}\right), i=1, \ldots, m-1 \\
& b_{j+1}=b_{j}\left(1-\sum_{i \leftrightarrow j} \frac{a_{i}}{A}\right), j=1, \ldots, n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

- $U_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$ - the expected payoff of male of age $i$.
- $V_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$ - the expected payoff of female of age $j$.
- $\frac{a_{i}}{A}$ - the probability a female is matched with a male of age $i$,
- $\frac{B}{A}$ - the probability a male is matched.
- $\frac{b_{j}}{A}=\frac{b_{j}}{B} \cdot \frac{B}{A}$ — the probability a male is matched with a female of age $j$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \text { accepts } j \text { if } \min \{m-i+1, n-j+1\} \geq U_{i+1} ; \\
& j \text { accepts } i \text { if } \min \{m-i+1, n-j+1\} \geq V_{j+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Model 1: Maximum age of males $m>2$, maximum age of

## females $n=2$

Strategies: $F=\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right], G=\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right]$
The expected payoffs of females are equal to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{a_{i}}{A} I\left\{f_{i}=2\right\}+\frac{a_{m}}{A} \leq 1, \\
V_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} 2 \frac{a_{i}}{A}+\frac{a_{m}}{A} \max \left\{1, V_{2}\right\}=2-\frac{a_{m}}{A} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$G=[m, m]$ : the expected payoffs of males are equal to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{m}=\frac{b_{1}}{A} 1+\frac{b_{2}}{A} 1+\left(1-\frac{B}{A}\right) 0=\frac{1}{r}<1, \Rightarrow f_{m}=2, f_{m-1}=2 \\
U_{m-1}=\frac{b_{1}}{A} 2+\frac{b_{2}}{A} \max \left\{1, U_{m}\right\}+\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right) U_{m}=\frac{2}{r}-\frac{b_{2}}{A}+\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right) U_{m}<2, \\
U_{m-2}=\frac{b_{1}}{A} 2+\frac{b_{2}}{A} \max \left\{1, U_{m-1}\right\}+\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right) U_{m-1} \\
\cdots \\
U_{1}=\frac{b_{1}}{A} 2+\frac{b_{2}}{A} \max \left\{1, U_{2}\right\}+\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right) U_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 1. Equilibrium strategy of female is to accept any partner. Equilibrium strategy of male of age $i$ is $f_{i}=1$, if $U_{i+1}>1, i=1, \ldots, m-$ 2 , and $f_{i}=2$, if $U_{i+1} \leq 1, i=1, \ldots, m-2$.

Steady state distributions for the age of males and females:
$a=\left(R, R z, \ldots, R z^{m-1}\right)$,
$b=(1,0), R=a_{1}=\frac{1}{(1-z)\left(1+z+z^{2}+\ldots+z^{m-1}\right)}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}U_{m}=1-z, \\ U_{m-i}=2(1-z)+z U_{m-i+1}, i=1, \ldots, m-2,\end{array}\right.$
where $z=1-1 / r$.

| Equilibrium for $m=4, n=2$ | $r=\frac{A}{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $([1,1,2,2],[4,4])$ | $(1,2.618)$ |
| $([1,2,2,2],[4,4])$ | $[2.618,4.079)$ |
| $([2,2,2,2],[4,4])$ | $[4.079,+\infty)$ |

## Model 2: Maximum age of males $m \geq 3$, maximum age of

 females $n=3$The expected payoff of female has the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{a_{i}}{A} I\left\{f_{i}=3\right\}+\frac{a_{m}}{A} \leq 1, \\
& V_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \frac{a_{i}}{A} 2 I\left\{f_{i} \geq 2\right\}+\frac{a_{m-1}}{A} 2+\frac{a_{m}}{A} 1 \leq 2, \\
& V_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \frac{a_{i}}{A} 3+\frac{a_{m-1}}{A} 2+\frac{a_{m}}{A} \max \left\{1, V_{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Females have two equilibrium strategies $G_{1}=[m-1, m, m], G_{2}=$ [ $m, m, m$ ] for different values of operational sex ratio $r$.

Males use strategy $F=\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]$ - to accept only those females who are not older than $f_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$.

There are three forms of strategies:

| $G_{2}=[m, m, m]$ | $G_{1}=[m-1, m, m]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. $F_{1}=[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{l}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k-l}]$ | II. $F_{3}=[\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{k}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k}]$ |
| $k=1, \ldots, m-3, l=1, \ldots, m-3$ | $k=1, \ldots, m-2$ |
|  | III. $F_{2}=\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{l}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k-l}]$ |
|  | $k=1, \ldots, m-3, l=1, \ldots, m-3$ |

I. Players use strategy profile $\left(F_{1}, G_{2}\right)$,
where $G_{2}=[m, m, m]$ (to accept any partner), $F_{1}=[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{l} \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k-l}]$
Theorem 2. If players use strategy profile $\left(F_{1}^{*}, G_{2}^{*}\right)$,
where $G_{2}^{*}=[m, m, m], F_{1}^{*}=[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{l} \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k-l}]$, then male's payoffs are equal to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{m}=1-z \\
U_{m-1}=2-z^{2}-z \\
U_{m-i}=3-z^{i+1}-z^{i}-z^{i-1}, i=2, \ldots, m-2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equilibrium distributions are equal to
$a=\left(R, R z, R z^{2}, \ldots, R z^{m-1}\right) ; b=(1,0,0)$,
$R=\frac{1}{(1-z)\left(1+z+z^{2}+\ldots+z^{m-1}\right)}$,

$A=r$,
for $z=1-1 / r$.


For $m=4$ and $r=2$, we obtain $a=\left(\frac{16}{15}, \frac{8}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{2}{15}\right), b=(1,0,0)$, $F_{1}^{*}=[1,2,3,3], G_{2}^{*}=[4,4,4]$.
$F_{1}^{*}=[1, \ldots, 1,2,3,3]$ for $r \in(1 ; 2.191)$ and $m \geq 4$,
$F_{1}^{*}=[1, \ldots, 1,2,2,3,3]$ for $r \in[2.191 ; 2.618)$ and $m \geq 6$,
$F_{1}^{*}=[1, \ldots, 1,2,3,3,3]$ for $r \in[2.618 ; 3.14)$ and $m \geq 6$,
$F_{1}^{*}=[1, \ldots, 1,2,2,3,3,3]$ for $r \in[3.14 ; 4.079)$ and $m \geq 7$.
II. Female's strategy is $G_{1}=[m-1, m, m]\left(V_{2} \geq 1\right)$,
male's strategy is $F_{3}=[\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{k}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k}], k=1, \ldots, m-2$.

Theorem 3. If players use the equilibrium strategy profile ( $F_{3}^{*}, G_{1}^{*}$ ), where $G_{1}^{*}=[m-1, m, m], F_{3}^{*}=[\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{k}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k}]$, for certain values of $k$ ( $k=1, \ldots, m-2$ ) then the males' optimal payoffs are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{m}=1-z-\frac{1}{A} \\
& U_{m-1}=2(1-z)+z U_{m}, \\
& U_{m-i}=3-\frac{a_{m}}{A^{2}(1-z)}-\left(1-\frac{a_{m}}{A^{2}(1-z)}\right) z^{i-1}-\left(1+\frac{1}{A}\right) z^{i}-z^{i+1}, \\
& i=2, \ldots, m-2,
\end{aligned}
$$

the equilibrium age distributions are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\left(R, R z, R z^{2}, \ldots, R z^{m-1}\right), b=\left(1, \frac{z^{m-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} z^{i}}, 0\right) . \\
& R=\frac{1+z+z^{2}+\ldots+z^{m-2}+2 z^{m-1}}{(1-z)\left(1+z+z^{2}+\ldots+z^{m-1}\right)^{2}}, \\
& A=R \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} z^{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z=1-1 / r$.

| Equilibrium for $m=5$ | $r=\frac{A}{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $([2,2,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[2.85,4.517)$ |
| $([2,3,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[4.517,6.87)$ |
| $([3,3,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[6.87,+\infty)$ |

III. Female's strategy is $G_{1}=[m-1, m, m]\left(V_{2} \geq 1\right)$,
male's strategy is $F_{2}=[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{l}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-k-l}], k=1, \ldots, m-3, l=$ $1, \ldots, m-3$.
$V_{2}=2-\frac{a_{m}}{A}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{a_{i}}{A}<1$
The distributions for the age of males and females have forms
$a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right) ; b=\left(1, \frac{a_{m}}{A}, \frac{a_{m}}{A} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{a_{i}}{A}\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=R, a_{i}=a_{i-1}(1-1 / A), i=2, \ldots, k+1, \\
& a_{j}=a_{j-1}\left(\frac{b_{3}}{A}+1-\frac{1}{r}\right), j=k+2, \ldots, k+l+1, \\
& a_{s}=a_{s-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right), s=k+l+2, \ldots, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

| Equilibrium for $m=5$ | $r=\frac{A}{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $([1,2,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[2.016,2.79)$ |

$$
m=5
$$

| Equilibrium | $r=\frac{A}{B}$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $([1,1,2,3,3],[5,5,5])$ | $(1,2.191)$ | $(1,1.049)$ |
| $([1,2,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[2.016,2.79)$ | $[1.081,1.191)$ |
| $([2,2,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[2.85,4.517)$ | $[1.209,1.560)$ |
| $([2,3,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[4.517,6.87)$ | $[1.560,2.097)$ |
| $([3,3,3,3,3],[4,5,5])$ | $[6.87,+\infty)$ | $[2.097,+\infty)$ |



Proposition. If $m=n \geq 2$ then $U_{i} \leq m-(i-1)$ and $V_{j} \leq m-(j-1)$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, m$.
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